

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,194	10/29/2001	Garland Phillips	29505/PF02194NA	5086	
29978	7590 01/09/2004		EXAMINER		
	MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN (MOTOROLA) 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			WEST, LEWIS G	
SUITE 6300	VACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6402			2682		
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004		
•			እ		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/055,194	PHILLIPS ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lewis G. West	2682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	;
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	a in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this n 		in the final rejection, whiches	vor in later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See IR 1.136(a) and the appropria bunt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Offic	MPEP te extension ate extension e action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplif	fying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · —	<i>,</i> —	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	• •	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:			
		VIVIAN CHIN	_
L. West	SIIPE	RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	}
(703) 304-9298		CHNOLOGY CENTER 2600	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments are not persuasive. A user's online presence is a type of operating information which is communicated between two devices as is indicated in the cited portions of Avaramudan. Display is broadly defined, in the claims and specification by the application, as a visual or auditory indication to the user (see claim 7 of the applicantion). The examiner must give claim limitations the broadest interpretation. The invention of Avaramudan makes "indication" and "notification" to the user of another user's online presence (whether a user is operating online), and any indication would inherently fall under "display" as claimed. Applicant's arguments are therefore unpersuasive to overcome the rejection and prosecution remains closed.